Gastro Esophageal Reflux Disease

T.vijayalaxmi, N.mounika, Nagavamsidhar, Qadrie ZL*
Department of Pharmacy Practice,
Pratishta Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences,
Suryapet, Hyderabad, Telangana
*zulfkarzulfi@gmail.com

ABSTRACT
Gastro esophageal reflux disease is also known as GERD. It is a long term condition where stomach contents come back up into the esophagus. Resulting in either symptoms or complications. Symptoms include the taste of acid in the back of the mouth, heartburn, bad breath, chest pain, vomiting, breathing problems and wearing away of the teeth complications includes oesophagitis, esophageal strictures and Barrett’s esophagus. Recent studies have demonstrated that peripheral and central factors are pivotal for the emergence of symptoms after gastroesophageal reflux had occurs supporting the view the GERD is a sensory -motor disorder rather than motor disorder alone. The primary pathophysiological event in GERD is the movement of acid, pepsin and other injurious substance from the stomach in to the esophagus. GERD is a common problem world-wide, the frequency and severity of GERD and severity of GERD and its complications such as burette’s esophagus and geographic variations. Most studies from India suggests that these might be less in the Indian population on the other hand studies in a multiethnic population showed that people of Indian origin are at higher risk of GERD than ethnic Malay of Chinese.

Key Words: Gastro esophageal reflux disease.

INTRODUCTION
Gastro esophageal reflux disease (GERD) is a long term condition where stomach contents come back up into the esophagus. Resulting in either symptoms or complications. Symptoms include the taste of acid in the back of the mouth, heartburn, bad breath, chest pain, vomiting, breathing problems and wearing away of the teeth complications includes oesophagitis, oesophageal strictures and Barrett’s esophagus. (www.niddk.nih.gov, Kahrilas ,2008)

GERD occurs several times daily in healthy infants, children and adults (Nelson,1998; Vandenplas 2009).Gastro esophageal reflux disease in infants, children is described as GER. GER causes some symptoms and /or complications (Vandenplas 2009, Sherman,2009).On the basis of a large claim database that uses international classification of (ICD-9) disease ,ninth revision codes. GERD was diagnosed in 12.3% of North American infants and in 1% of other pediatric age groups .Symptoms of GERD are often nonspecific and may vary widely from regurgitation to excessive crying and respiratory symptoms. GER has the potential to cause severe complications such as oesophagitis and failure to thrive GERD can also have a major effect on the daily life of caregivers and on health care costs ,which have been estimated to be US dollars 2386 per 6 months (Sherman,2009). Although taking medical history and performing a physical examination will often suffice, pH monitoring, occasionally combined with intraluminal impedance monitoring pH-mu and/or endoscopy, can be conducted if necessary. pH-mu is able to detect non-acidic reflux events as well and is of additional value in infants because of feed buffering(van wijk 2009, Loots 2009)

The pathophysiology of gastro esophageal reflux disease has evolved in the last decade primarily by recognizing the multitude of factors that contribute to the emergence of the disorder. These factors may overlap in some patients and differ in others. GERD is primarily considered a motility disorder because dysfunction of the anti reflux barrier is still considered as a prerequisite for the development of the disease. However recent investigation into symptom generation in GERD disclosed the important role of altered esophageal sensation. Recent studies have demonstrated that peripheral and central factors are pivotal for the emergence of
symptoms after gastroesophageal reflux had occurred supporting the view that GERD is a sensory-motor disorder rather than motor disorder alone. The primary pathophysiologic event in GERD is the movement of acid, pepsin and other injurious substance from the stomach in to the esophagus. This event also occurs as part of normal physiology but results in GERD when symptoms or tissue damage occurs. Oesophageal mucosal injury in GERD results when mucosal defensive factor are overwhelmed by refluxate, occurring secondary to compromise anti reflux barriers decreased effective esophageal acid clearance abnormal mucosal defensive factor and so on. (R.Fass,2006)

GERD is a common problem world-wide[34, Chang 1997] the frequency and severity of GERD and severity of GERD and it's complications such as bourett's esophagus and geographic variations (Terano 2003). most studies from India suggests that these might be less in the Indian population (Ho KY Kang, 1998; Paranjape 1996) on the other hand studies in a multiethnic population showed that people of Indian origin are at higher risk of GERD than ethnic Malay of Chines (Terano 2003).The high prevalence of H.pylori infection which is known to protect from severe GERD in the Indian population (Paranjape 1996; Chourasia,2011).The role of dietary and genetic factors is not clear.

EPIDEMIOLOGY

In this update to the 2005 review of GERD epidemiology we have identified 15 population based studies published since the original literature search that assesses the prevalence of GERD. Only one study of GERD incidence published since the original review was identified which reported incidence in pediatric patients age 1-17 years in the U.K from 2000-2005.(Ruigomez A,2010) This study by regimes it all used the health improvements network, a large primary care database containing anonymised information on approximately 2.3 millions patients currently registered with participating primary care practitioners (PCPs) (approximately 4 x of the total U.K. population) included patients were required to be aged 1-17 years ,to have been registered with their PCP for at least 1 years before the start of the study period and to have no diagnosis of GERD prior to a first diagnosis in the period 2000-2005.the overall incidence was 0.84 per 1000 person –year (kotzan J , 2001; Ruigomez A,2004) in comparison, two studies of GERD incidence in either adult patients or the adult and pediatric population considered together and found the incidence of GERD to be approximately 5 per 1000 person-years. The incidence of GERD in children over 1year of age may therefore be considering lower than that in the adults population. It should be noted that the study of kotzan et al from the USA used data from a medical said claim database and is therefore only representative of the low income population who receive Medicaid. (Spenchler SJ ,1992)

Prevalence of GERD in Australia is about 672 individual who are residents of western Sydney. It occurs in all age groups and mortality associated with GERD is rare. GERD clinical presentation has great impact on quality of life than comparative with duodenal ulcers, angina, untreated hypertension, and menopause. Approximately 44% of American populations suffer with symptoms of GERD monthly. As its prevalence varies due to geographic location but occurs mostly in western countries. Additionally the risk of esophageal adenocarcinoma is 20-30 times higher in patients with barett's esophagus who experience heart burn than normal population. (Dimenas E, 1993)

Figure no-1:Representing causes of GERD (Dianne B,2005)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Typical symptoms</th>
<th>Atypical symptoms</th>
<th>Complicated symptoms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heart burn</td>
<td>Non allergic symptoms</td>
<td>Continual pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water brash</td>
<td>Chronic</td>
<td>Dysphagia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(hypersalivation)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Odynophobia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### PATHOPHYSIOLOGY

The key factor in development of GERD is retrograde movement of acid or other noxious substances from the stomach into the esophagus. In some cases, gastro esophageal reflux is associated with lower esophageal sphincter pressure related to Spontaneous transient LES relaxation which increase in intra-abdominal pressure (https://www.bluecrossnc.com). An atonic LES all of which may lead to the development of gastrointestinal reflux. Problems with other normal mucosal defensive mechanisms such as anatomic factors, Esophageal clearance, Mast cell histamine, Gastric emptying, Epidermal growth factor, Salivary buffering may also contribute to the development of GERD. (Orenstein SR,1994)

- Esophageal clearance
- Mucosal resistance
- Gastric emptying
- Epidermal growth factor
- Salivary buffering may also contribute to the development of GERD. (tuftsmedicalcenter.org)
- Aggressive factors that may promote esophageal damage upon reflux into the esophagus include gastric acid, pepsin, bile acids, and pancreatic enzymes. Thus the composition and volume of reflux as well as duration of exposure are the most important aggressive factors in determining the sequence of reflux. (Lagergren J,1993)
- Several complications may occur with GER including esophagitis; esophageal strictures and Barrett esophagus, adenocarcinoma of esophagus. Strictures are common in the distal esophagus and are generally 1-2 cm in length. (Lagergren J,1993; Fennestry,1996)
- The use of NSAIDS or aspirin has been implicated as an additional risk factor that may contribute to the development or worsening of esophageal strictures.
- Although GERD may lead to esophageal bleeding, the blood loss is usually chronic and low grade in nature but can lead to anemia. (Kuruger KJ,1996; Kiljander TO,1999)
- In some patients the repairs process lead to displacement of squamous epithelial lining of the esophagus by specialized columnar type epithelium. (Simpson WG,1995)

#### DIAGNOSIS (https://www.mayoclinic.org/diseases-conditions/gerd/diagnosis)

**Upper endoscopy:** Your doctor inserts a thin, flexible tube equipped with a light and camera (endoscope) down your throat, to examine the inside of your esophagus and stomach. Test results can often be normal when reflux is present, but an endoscopy may detect inflammation of the esophagus (esophagitis) or other complications. An endoscopy can also be used to collect a sample of tissue (biopsy) to be tested complications such as barrette’s esophagus.

**Ambulatory acid (pH) probe test:** A monitor is placed in your esophagus to identify when, and for how long, stomach acid regurgitates there. The monitor connects to a small computer that you wear around your waist or with a strap over your shoulder. The monitor might be a thin, flexible tube (catheter) that's threaded through your nose into your esophagus, or a clip that's placed in your esophagus during an endoscopy and that gets passed into your stool after about two days.

**Esophageal manometry:** This test measures the rhythmic muscle contractions in your esophagus when you swallow. Esophageal manometry also measures the coordination and force exerted by the muscles of your esophagus.

**X-ray of your upper digestive system.** X-rays are taken after you drink a chalky liquid that coats and fills the inside lining of your digestive tract. The
coating allows your doctor to see a silhouette of your esophagus, stomach and upper intestine. You may also be asked to swallow a barium pill that can help diagnose a narrowing of the esophagus that may interfere with swallowing.

**TREATMENT**

The treatment for GERD includes lifestyle modifications, medications, and possibly surgery and initial treatment is frequently with a proton pump inhibitor.

General Approach To GERD: The treatment of GERD is categorized into one of the following modalities; Life style changes and patient directed therapy with antacids, on prescription H₂-receptor antagonist, and by are nonprescription proton pump inhibitor; (Kothari Nelson, 2009)

Interventional therapies [anti reflux surgery or end luminal therapies ]the initial therapeutic modality used is in part dependent on the patient’s condition [frequency of symptom, degree of esophagitis, and presents of complications]. Historically, a step-up approach has been used, starting with non invasive life style modifications and patient –directed therapy and progressing to pharmacologic management or interventional approaches . a step-down approach, starting with a proton pump inhibitor given once or twice daily instead of an S2 of an H₂ antagonist and then stepping down to the lowest degree of acid suppression needed to control symptoms.

A pharmacologic intervention primarily standard or high–dose acid-suppressing agents; **INTERVERATIONAL THERAPIES** [Anti reflux surgery or endoluminal therapies].

The initial therapeutic modality used in part dependent on patient’s condition [frequency of symptoms, degree of esophagitis, and presence of complication]. Historically, a set-up approach has been used starting with non invasive life style modifications and patient –directed therapy and progressing to pharmacologic management or interventional approaches (Kitchin LI, 1991; Fennetry, 1996; Devault KR, 1999). A step-down approach ,starting with proton pump inhibitor given once or twice daily instead of an H₂ –receptor antagonist and stepping down to the lowest degree of acid suppression needed to control symptoms ,is also effective .Neither the step-up nor sep-down approach has superior efficacy or cost effectiveness over the other. The clinician should determine the most appropriate approach for an individual patient(Devault KR, 1999). whichever method is used ,every attempt should be made to aggressively control symptoms and to prevent relapses early in the course of the patients disease in order to prevent the complications that are seen with long-standing symptomatic GERD (Fennetry, 1996) in patients with moderate to severe GERD, starting with a proton pump inhibitor as initial therapy is advocated because of its superior efficacy over H₂-receptor antagonists.

Dietary and lifestyle modifications and education about factors that may worsen GERD symptoms should be discussed with the patient (Devault KR, 1999). Many of the life changes that are included in phase 1 therapy (Kitchin LI, 1991; Richter JE, 1981). Although most patients do not respond to lifestyle changes alone, the importance of maintaining these lifestyle changes throughout the course of GERD therapy should be stressed to the patient on a routine basis, no matter what other therapeutic modality is used patients with mild or infrequent symptoms may see improvement with the inexpensive nonprescription H₂-receptor antagonists, antacids or alginic acid.

**NON PHARMACOLOGIC THERAPY:** ( Dianne B,2005) Non pharmacologic treatment include lifestyle modifications which should be started initially and continued throughout the treatment course for GERD, and interventional approaches [Antireflux surgery or endoluminal therapies]

**Lifestyle Modifications:** The most common lifestyle changes that a patient should be educated about include

1. weight loss
2. elevation of the head of the bed;
3. eating smaller meals and avoidance of eating 3 hours prior to sleeping
4. avoidance of foods or medications that exacerbate GERD;
5. smoking cessation; and
6. Avoidance of alcohol.
• Obese patients were 2.8 times more likely to experience GERD symptoms than patients who were not obese.
• A meal high in fat will decrease LES pressure for 2 hours or more postprandially. In contrast, a high-protein, low-fat meal will elevate LES pressure.
• Elevating the head of the bed about 6 to 8 inches with a foam wedge under the mattress (not just elevating the head with pillows) decreases nocturnal esophageal acid contact time and should be recommended.
• Fats and chocolate can decrease LES pressure, while citrus juice, tomato juice, coffee, and pepper may irritate damaged endothelium.
• Medications such as anticholinergic, barbiturates, calcium channel blockers, and theophylline decrease LES pressure.

Pharmacological Therapy (emedicine.medscape.com)

Antacids: Antacids were the standard treatment in the 1970s and are still effective in controlling mild symptoms of GERD. Antacids should be taken after each meal and at bed time.

H2 receptor antagonist and H2 blocker therapy: H2 receptor antagonists are the first-line agents with mild to moderate symptoms and grade 1-2 esophagitis. Options include ranitidine (zantac), cimetidine (Tagamet), famotidine (Pepcid), and nizatidine (axid). H2 receptor antagonists are effective for healing only mild esophagitis in 70-80% of patients with GERD and for providing maintenance therapy to prevent relapse tachyphylaxis has been observed, suggesting that pharmacologic tolerance can reduce the long-term efficacy of these drugs.

Proton pump inhibitors: PPI’s are the most powerful medications available for treating GERD. These agents should be used only when this condition has been objectively documented. They have few adverse effects and are well tolerated for long-term use. However, data have shown that pips can interfere with calcium homeostasis and aggravate cardiac conduction defects. These agents have also been responsible for hip fracture in postmenopausal women. Available PPI’s include omeprazole (Prilosec), rabeprazole (aciphex), and esomeprazole (medium).

Prokinetic medications and reflux inhibitors: Prokinetic agents are somewhat effective but only patients with mild symptoms; other patients usually require additional acid-suppressing medications such as ppi’s. The usual regimen in adults is metoclopramide, 10 mg/day orally, such as pips. Long term use of prokinetic agents may have serious, even potentially fatal, complications and should be discouraged.

Surgical Treatment For GERD: (https://www.aboutgerd.org)
Surgical therapy is also an option for the treatment of GERD. The main indication for surgical therapy is failure of medical management when symptoms persist despite appropriate medical therapy. Another indication for anti-reflux surgery is personal preference. Some people do not want to take lifelong acid suppression medication or have too many side effects from these medications and may want to consider anti-reflux surgery.


There are many ways to prevent GERD.
Top ten ways to prevent GERD
a) Lose Weight; obesity is the leading cause of gird, Dr. Vaezi says, extra stomach fat places pressure on your abdomen, pushing gastric juices up into your esophagus.

b) Avoid Foods Known to Cause Reflux; if your risk for gird, avoid:
• Fatty foods
• Spicy foods
• Acidic foods, like tomatoes and citrus.
• Mint
• Chocolate
Onions

c) Eat Smaller Meals; large meals fill the stomach and put pressure on the LES, making reflux and GERD more likely.

d) Try a Gluten –Free Diet; at least one study has found that gluten, a protein found in grain like barley, rye, and wheat, may cause or exacerbate GERD symptoms. Try eliminating gluten from your diet and see if it makes a difference.

e) Wear loose–Fitting Clothes: do not wear tight clothes. Tight clothes constrict your stomach and tight belts are avoided.

f) Avoid smoking.

g) Avoid some drugs which cause the GERD.

h) Elevate your bed.

i) Don’t lie down after eating.

j) Cut back on alcohol.
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